

SRV Community Engagement July 2021 – Queries raised in online engagement sessions

| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 19/07/2021 12:30h | Nil | | |
| 19/07/2021 18:00h | Nil | | |
| 20/07/2021 12:30h | Why are Council building new assets/upgrading assets when they know they can't afford it | | Council has received a number of requests for improved or new facilities from the community. Councillors believe improvement of the amenity for the area will help attract more people and distribute the costs. Councillors believe we have a good level of service and have requested the organization to continue to make efficiency savings, but this alone will not cover the costs of the level of service desired by the community and Councillors acknowledge to have this higher service level will cost the community a small amount in additional rates. Council is advocating for a fairer distribution of Federal Assistance Grants to rural regional Council's that don't have the density of population to distribute costs over. |
| | How can such a large SRV even be applied for, is there a maximum | There is no maximum | Recently, the highest SRV approved by IPART was 76% over 7 years |
| | Do Councils that apply for SRVs get back to a sustainable position | Yes, they do initially, but many face similar challenges at some point in the future when costs and rate cap start to diverge again | The rate cap is a false income ceiling, and the reality is that costs are exceeding the rate cap. The only way to stay within the rate cap is to reduce services |

Page 302



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|---------|--|---|---|
| | Is Carcoola, Aged Care, Community | Yes, these are considered non-core | Childcare, Aged Care, Community |
| | Transport, Caravan Park considered non- | Council services | Transport are the responsibility of other |
| | core | | levels of government. Council has |
| | | | chosen to operate these, reflecting |
| | | | specific community need. Council aims |
| | | | to operate services that are the |
| | | | responsibility of other levels of |
| | | | government only if the cost of the |
| | | | service is covered by government |
| | | | and/or users. Caravan Parks are a |
| | | | commercial operation not mandatory |
| | | | for Council to operate. When operating |
| | | | commercial operations Council expects |
| | | | a surplus return |
| | Why is the State Government not taking | This is not about the merger, explained | The state government expected to see |
| | responsibility, they enforced the merger | within presentation | efficiencies gained by 2030. There is |
| | | SRVs are State Government's preferred | an expectation that the community is |
| | | solution to the sustainability issues faced | actively engaged with the necessary |
| | | by Councils | choices to match service levels and |
| | | | expenditure to income capacity. |
| | Previous budget provided by Council | | Council has forecast ongoing operating |
| | showed a profit and now it's a 10-year | | deficits in its last four long-term financial |
| | deficit | | forecasts. The funding gap widened in |
| | | | each consecutive forecast due to |
| | | | increasing costs and capped income. |
| | Where did previous community feedback | Community feedback provided during | Council places the operational plan, |
| | come from relating to LTFP SRV scenario | public exhibition of budgets and | budgets, and associated documents on |
| | | operational plan | public exhibition about the same time |
| | | | each year in late May/early June. |
| | | | Submissions received are detailed in |
| | | | the Report to Council prior to adopting |
| | | | the document in June. |

Page 303



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|-------------------|--|--|---|
| | How Council came to be in this position | Addressed within presentation | Increasing service levels, increasing capital funding and maintenance costs for new assets, cost shifting, increased governance expectations and a ceiling placed on rates that does not allow for this growth |
| 20/07/2021 18:00h | How will Council's commitment to efficiency gains be monitored and who ensures Council is accountable and achieves these | Council reports annually in its annual report, and quarterly on achieving budget | Council will publicly report progress against the Sustainability Plan and efficiency gains quarterly and via the Integrated Planning & Reporting framework. |
| | Ensure aggregate poll responses are made public | | A comprehensive engagement report will be made public prior to Council's consideration of a SRV application. |
| | How many people have participated in these online meetings | Not many | In total 21 community members participated in the 6 online engagement sessions |
| 23/07/2021 12:30h | Is this a permanent or temporary SRV | Permanent SRV | A long-term solution is required. A permanent SRV means that once the total agreed percentage increase is applied, the new rate base remains |
| | Why can't Council make more than \$600K in internal savings | | Greater savings can only be achieved through reducing service levels offered to the community, as that has a direct impact on staff and materials costs. The current and ongoing community engagement revolves around balancing the need for internal savings, service level reductions, fee increases and reduction of assets. |



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Why did Council not stop works when | | Council Project Managers undertake |
| | overspend started on roads | | fortnightly review of their project budgets. |
| | | | If they are found to be not in line with |
| | | | their forecasts, a review of scope and |
| | | | delivery is undertaken with the Project |
| | | | Team |
| | Why has the situation occurred | Addressed within presentation | Increasing service levels, increasing |
| | | | capital funding and maintenance costs |
| | | | for new assets, cost shifting, increased |
| | | | governance expectations and a ceiling |
| | | | placed on rates that does not allow for |
| | | | this growth. |



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|---------|--|--|--|
| | Why does Council apply for or accept | A lot of community members want assets | Council balances requests for |
| | grant funding to build assets that they | funded from elsewhere, but this needs to | improved or new facilities from the |
| | cannot afford to run or maintain | be balanced against long-term costs | community and general upgrade needs |
| | | | against the ongoing long-term costs |
| | | | and opportunity provided by external |
| | | | funding. Councillors believe ensuring |
| | | | ongoing investment in assets and |
| | | | amenity for the area not only benefits |
| | | | residents but assists to attract more |
| | | | people to the area and distribute the |
| | | | costs. Councillors have requested the |
| | | | organisation continue to make |
| | | | efficiency savings, but this alone will |
| | | | not cover the costs of the current level |
| | | | of service desired by the community |
| | | | and acknowledge maintaining the |
| | | | current service level will cost the |
| | | | community a small amount in |
| | | | additional rates. |
| | | | Council is advocating for a fairer |
| | | | distribution of Federal Assistance |
| | | | Grants to rural regional Council's that |
| | | | don't have the density of population to |
| | | | distribute operational costs. |
| | Does the calculation of services include | Yes, the calculations include on-going | |
| | running costs | costs | |
| | | | |

10.6

Attachment 5

Page 306



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Numbers around services that could | | Many services Council has highlighted |
| | Council hand back to government | | as 'non-core' are able to be passed to |
| | | | other providers and continue to |
| | | | operate within the community. It is |
| | | | Council's preference that where this |
| | | | can occur it is a better option than |
| | | | stopping the service offered to the |
| | | | community. |
| | | | In some cases, Council may no longer |
| | | | provide the best operating model for |
| | | | the provision of the service. Most not |
| | | | for profit and commercial operators do |
| | | | not have the overheads and |
| | | | governance requirements that are |
| | | | legislated for a local government to |
| | | | comply with, and they likely have |
| | | | access to employee conditions that |
| | | | local government is unable to access |
| | | | which allows them to deliver the same |
| | | | services at a lower cost. It is Council's |
| | | | preference to maintain the level of |
| | | | service the community currently |
| | | | receives |
| 5/07/2021 18:00h | n Individual circumstances | | Ratepayer sent a detailed query which |
| ,, | | | was answered directly. |
| | | | Council recognises that some |
| | | | ratepayers in the community may have |
| | | | difficulty in meeting their commitments |
| | | | regarding the payment of residential |
| | | | Rates & Charges. Alternative |
| | | | arrangements for those experiencing |
| | | | genuine financial difficulties can be |
| | | | made on an individual basis. |
| | | | made on an individual pasis. |



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 15/09/2021 12:00h | Council has just emerged from a Special | The former Tumut Shire SRV expired after | |
| | Rate Variation? | 15 years at the end of the previous | |
| | | financial year | |
| | How come a permanent increase is called | This is a legislative term for the process of | |
| | a Special Rate Variation, isn't it just a rates | applying for a rates increase above the | |
| | increase? | rate peg | |
| | Question about a ratepayer having experienced a rates increase at 1 July 2021 | | Ratepayer encouraged to lodge an enquiry directly with Council |
| | Why is a septic charge included in the rates notice for the first time this year? | | The Local Government Act requires Councils to regulate the installation and operation of on-site sewage management systems. Part of this is the approval for the ongoing operation of the system. The on- site sewage management charge is now charged to all properties in the Local Government area |
| | Rateable farmland being swallowed up by | Rateable properties will be affected by the | |
| | State Forests who pay no rates? | special rate variation, non-rateable | |
| | | properties will not be affected as they | |
| | | don't pay general rates | |
| | Tumbarumba Basketball Stadium being | This facility is not an evacuation centre | Council has received funds to build a |
| | the local evacuation centre and why build a new one rather than use existing facility? | Council is planning to provide one evacuation centre to all residents; any evacuation centre has to strictly comply with regulations and resources have to be available to staff and run it | multi-purpose facility that will function as an evacuation centre for the entire Local Government area in case of a disaster There are other evacuation centres in Albury and Wagga Wagga which provide services on a regional level |
| | How can you conclude anything from 3 | | The responses from all meetings will be |
| | participants? (the number of participants | | aggregated |
| | that responded to the polls during the | | |
| | meeting) | | |



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 15/09/2021 17:30h | Confusion about the actual percentage | Council is currently informing the | Upon Council decision to continue with |
| | rate of the SRV and why the rate peg is | community about the SRV options over | the application process further |
| | not included? | and above the rate peg | information will be made available |
| | | For example the 15% plus 15% option | The application to IPART will follow |
| | | compounds to 32.25% over two years over | IPART's guidelines which are not yet |
| | | and above the rate peg | available, including the rate peg to be |
| | | | applied in modelling |
| | If the State decided to cover the | The levy makes up between 5 and 8% of | |
| | emergency services levy would there be | general rates revenue and this would not | |
| | no need for an SRV? | be sufficient to close the gap | |
| | What is the full list of assets identified for | Council provided the list | Examples of assets that may be |
| | potential sale? | | rationalized has been included in a |
| | | | number of public documents, including |
| | | | the leaflet distributed to all letterboxes |
| | | | A complete list of potential assets that |
| | | | could be repurposed, sold, disposed of or |
| | | | transferred is available on Council's |
| | | | website, however, this list may be |
| | | | expanded in the future depending on |
| | | | Council adopted strategies |
| | Are the savings from asset rationalization | The savings result from ongoing reduction | |
| | from selling the asset or savings from | in maintenance and running costs and | |
| | maintenance? | depreciation charges | |
| | What specific areas have been identified | Most of these savings will come from | |
| | to make the \$600K per annum | Council's sustainability plan and will be | |
| | productivity savings, what date would | applied from the next financial year, | |
| | these start and what degree of confidence | although some are being made now. | |
| | do you have in this target being achieved? | Complete confidence that Council | |
| | | commits to these actions | |
| | Who has defined core services? | Core services are those that Council is | |
| | | legally obliged to provide | |
| | | Everything else is considered non-core | |



| Meeting | Questions | Initial Reply by Presenter | Council response |
|---------|---|---|------------------|
| | Why is there no option that allows us to | There is a poll during the meeting that | |
| | state we don't want any of these options? | allows for this, ie. includes an option D | |
| | | which proposes not to proceed with an | |
| | | SRV and instead reduce and stop services | |
| | | and make all the proposed savings | |